

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

APPLICATION NO. 10/711,150

FILING DATE 08/27/2004

11/15/2006

James Anderson

81101894 / FMC 1772 PUS

5149

28395

7590

EXAMINER

SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238

1000 TOWN CENTER

22ND FLOOR

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL

GATES, ERIC ANDREW

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3722

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/711,150	ANDERSON ET AL.	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Eric A. Gates	3722		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI(1.136(a). In no event, however, may a led will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Alexandre Communication and the communication are communication.	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	September 2006.			
	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-16 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.	-	
	,			
Attachment(s)	∆	Summany (DTO 412)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ,		

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 14 September 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koffsky et al. (U.S. Patent 4,889,290).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Koffsky et al. discloses a machining system 10/12/14/16/18, the machining system comprising: a housing 16/110 defining a portion of the machining envelope; a hopper 126 (including receptacle bag 120) having a top surface (not labeled, see Figures 4 and 5, includes the top of 126 and the portion of bag 120 drooped over 126) defining an opening; a seal 116 extending from the top surface to the

Art Unit: 3722

housing (as seen in Figure 4); wherein the hopper is configured to receive particulates when positioned below the machining envelope.

- 5. Regarding claim 3, Koffsky et al. discloses that the hopper further comprises a bottom panel and a set of wheels disposed proximate the bottom panel (not labeled, see Figure 1 and 4-5).
- 6. Regarding claim 5, Koffsky et al. discloses the machining system further comprising a funnel (not labeled, next to label 112 in Figures 4-5) adapted to direct particulates into the hopper 126 disposed on the housing above the hopper and below the machining envelope.
- 7. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koffsky et al. (U.S. Patent 4,889,290).
- 8. Regarding claim 17, Koffsky et al. discloses a housing 16/18 including: an upper portion 16 defining a machining envelope; a lower portion 18 disposed proximate the upper portion, the lower portion having an access port (not labeled, ramp side of Figure 1); and a mating portion 110 disposed proximate the upper and lower portions defining a first aperture (not labeled, hole defined by 112 and 114 in Figures 4 and 5), the mating portion having a bottom surface 114; and a chip hopper 126 (including receptacle bag 120) adapted to be inserted through the access port into the lower portion, the chip hopper including: a top surface (not labeled, see Figures 4 and 5, includes the top of 126 and the portion of bag 120 drooped over 126) defining a second aperture; and a seal 116 disposed on the top surface; wherein the seal engages (per Webster's Online

Art Unit: 3722

Dictionary, "engage" is defined as "to come into contact with"; the seal 116 engages the bottom corner of bottom surface 114) the bottom surface 114 of the mating portion to inhibit particulates from exiting the housing.

9. Regarding claim 18, Koffsky et al. discloses wherein the lower portion 18 further comprises a second access port (not labeled, side opposite ramp in Figure 1) adapted to permit removal of the chip hopper 126 disposed opposite the first access port (capability to remove chip hopper through second access port exists).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 2, 6, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koffsky et al. in view of McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent 6,112,504).
- 12. Regarding claims 2 and 19, Koffsky et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, except Koffsky et al. does not disclose the system further comprising a lift platform adapted to raise the hopper to position the seal adjacent to the housing and the top surface. McGregor et al. teaches the use of a lift platform 27 for the purpose of raising a hopper 12 as necessary to position a seal 18. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3722

have combined the system of Koffsky et al. with the lift of McGregor et al. in order to have a system that is able to have the hopper repositioned as necessary.

- 13. Regarding claim 6, Koffsky et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, except Koffsky et al. does not disclose a blower adapted to blow particulates into the hopper. McGregor et al. teaches the use of a blower 38 for the purpose of blowing particulates into the hopper 12. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the system of Koffsky et al. with the blower of McGregor et al. in order to have a system that more effectively directs the particulates into the hopper.
- 14. Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koffsky et al. in view of Demarco (U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0131571 A1).
- 15. Regarding claims 4 and 20, Koffsky et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, except Koffsky et al. does not disclose at least one channel adapted to receive a forklift fork disposed proximate the bottom panel. Demarco teaches a hopper 18 that can be equipped with forklift channels 20 and 22 for the purpose of receiving and being moved by the tines of a forklift truck. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the system of Koffsky et al. with the forklift channels of Demarco in order to have a system that can be more easily removed from the machine system.
- 16. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koffsky et al. in view of McGregor and further in view of Demarco.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,150 Page 6

Art Unit: 3722

17. Regarding claims 7 and 8, Koffsky et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, except Koffsky et al. does not disclose the hopper further comprises a housing vent disposed below the funnel and a filter adapted to allow pressurized air to exit the hopper and prevent particulates from exiting the hopper disposed proximate the housing vent. Demarco teaches the use of a vent pipe 354 and vent flap 356 and a filtering unit 222 for the purpose of allowing purified air to be released to the atmosphere. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the system of Koffsky et al. with the vent and filter of Demarco in order to have a system that runs cleaner and is more environmentally friendly.

Response to Arguments

- 18. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 19. For the reasons as set forth above, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric A. Gates whose telephone number is 571-272-5498. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:45-6:15.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,150 Page 7

Art Unit: 3722

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EAG 9 November 2006

MONICA CARTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER